

Appl. No. 10/717,191
Amdt. Dated August 10, 2006
Reply to Office action of May 10, 2006

REMARKS

Claim 1 has been amended to overcome the Examiner's rejection under 35 USC 101. It is submitted that the steps of providing an uninspected product and of releasing a recycling the product as a result of imaging or height mapping is intrinsic to machine vision inspection. As an example, machine vision is generally defined as playing "an indispensable role in the process of manufacturing products". See for example,

http://www.cognex.com/corporate/machine_vision_basics.asp
as well as

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Machine_vision.](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Machine_vision)

New claim 45 is a different formulation of applicant's invention of scope similar to claim 1, however, with fewer steps.

It is submitted that claims 1 and 45 are allowable for reasons in line with the reasons for allowing claim 29.

In light of the amendments made herewith, applicants respectfully request allowance of the application.

Yours Respectfully,



James Anglehart
Reg. No. 38,796
Tel.: 514.871.2939